From: Stuart <stuart@sendinfo.us>

Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 5:31 PM

To: Tennis Wick

Cc: Scott Orr; Cecily Condon; Joshua Miranda **Subject:** Muir Woods use permit application

EXTERNAL

Mr. Wick,

Please confirm that you received this letter of objection.

We are strongly objecting to the request by Muir Wood treatment center to expand their facility for the third time.

The director of the facility previously told neighbors and the county at a public hearing that he had no plans to expand the facility beyond 10 residents. Since they opened they have been allowed to expand from the original 6 residents to 10, then added an additional 6 on the adjacent parcel and are now requesting to expand that number to 10 for a total of 20 residents.

There is a good reason that establishing a facility with only 6 residents does not require a use permit. That size facility is deemed not to present a significant negative impact on neighboring properties. Expanding to more than triple its original size does present a significant negative impact. This business is out of character for our rural residential neighborhood.

The center's documents state that there have been no record of disturbances to the neighborhood. That is incorrect.

Their documents state that residents are not permitted to leave the premises at any time unless accompanied by staff or with their family. I know of at least two instances of runaways from the facility. One involved the boys trespassing on neighboring properties.

Their documents also state that there have been no concerns regarding noise levels at the facility. That is incorrect.

Noise and lights from the basketball court have disturbed neighbors repeatedly. And noise has occurred later than the 6 pm time promised by the facility.

Other issues of concern for neighbors include the capacity of the septic systems and the amount of water usage. Muir Woods has stated that the typical staff to client ratio on weekdays is 1 to 1. That means with 10 clients in residence a total of 20 people would be making demands on the water supply. This far exceeds the amount that a normal rural residential property housing a single family would use.

The director has previously stated that "as a group home we function similar (sic) to a nondescript single family home". In terms of traffic, noise, water usage, waste production and potential runaways this is incorrect. The group home as it now exists, with a total of 16 residents, directly impacts and lowers our quality of life and our property values. Adding more residents would only exacerbate our problems.

Susan Corwin Stuart Corwin 2310 Magnolia Ave. Petaluma, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Lori Baker < lbaker71@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Joshua Miranda; Tennis Wick

Subject: Notice of Waiver of Public Hearing on Muir Wood Adolescent

EXTERNAL

Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services Permit Sonoma File No. UPE20-0008

Mr. Miranda and Mr. Wick: I have not heard from Mr. Miranda in response to my voicemail left on Thursday, March 18, so am documenting in writing.

PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A WRITTEN OBJECTION TO PERMIT ISSUANCE. With the Shelter in Place restrictions being lifted, we request a meeting/hearing on this Notice.

Keeping in mind that Muir Woods is located in a residential area, there is concern among the neighbors about increasing the number of residents at the Skillman facility. My home is located in the "backyard" of Muir Woods. When the residents are out we regularly see and hear 12 or so residents. The permit says they plan to expand to 10 residents.

**How many residents are currently permitted to reside at the Muir Woods facility on Skillman Lane?

There have been numerous complaints from neighbors to the facility regarding yelling and swearing coming from the residents on the basketball court and ping pong table as late as 9:00 p.m.

**What supervisor would allow this to happen, or are they unsupervised? 9:00 p.m. may not be considered late by the young residents, but this is a working class neighborhood with many early risers.

**Is there a permit that governs the hours of outdoor activities?

Since we all draw from the same water aquifer, we are also interested in learning about any water conservation methods that Muir Woods may be using. Sonoma Water states that "our region just experienced the third driest water year (October 2019 - September 2020) on record over the last 127 years" (Sonoma Water - It's a Dry Year. Save Water With Us.).

Our septic system is permitted for a three bedroom home (and a reasonable number of household members). As a comparison, we would like to know the number of bedrooms their septic system(s) for the entire facility on both parcels is permitted to support.

**We would request their submitted septic monitoring reports for the previous 24 months.

The Notice states that project materials and documents are available digitally through you. I request that material, please.

I would also appreciate receiving a list of all of the names and addresses of the recipients of the Notice of Waiver.

While we applaud the mission of Muir Woods, we expect Sonoma County PRMD to consider Muir Woods' impact on the quality of life of all neighbors as well as on the environment (i.e. septic and water issues.)

Lori Baker and Chris Baker 2406 Magnolia Avenue Petaluma, CA Cell 707 364 8420

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

MILLER & TONG, LLP

Miller & Tong, LLP

Attorneys at Law

300 Montgomery Street, Suite 660 San Francisco, CA 94104 TEL.415.409.5222 Email: dd@artisanlaw.com

March 31, 2021

VIA ELECTRONICA MAIL ONLY

Joshua Miranda @sonoma-county.org

Re:

Muir Wood Adolescent and Family Services Expansion

1743 Skillman Lane, Petaluma, CA 94952

Dear Mr. Miranda:

My client, Coleen Walsh, owns an improved parcel of real property at 1795 Skillman Lane, which consists of her primary residence and a stable, barn and paddocks. Her property abuts the Muir Wood shelter. She received the attached notice from the County of Sonoma informing neighboring owners and other interested parties of Muir Wood's intended expansion from six to ten beds at the shelter.

Please be advised that Ms. Walsh objects to this expansion without public notice and hearings for the following reasons. The notice acknowledges that the shelter operates 24 hours a day. As a next-door neighbor, Ms. Walsh can and does hear considerable noise and commotion emanating from the shelter at all hours of the day. An increase in the number of beds will only increase the noise and commotion from the residents. This noise and commotion are not limited to simple conversations. There is considerable screaming and cursing from the facility and loud arguing especially on weekends which annoys and disturbs Ms. Walsh and her guests. They even yell at Ms. Walsh frequently as she rides or walks her horses. The yelling and arguments have resulted in regular sheriff and ambulance visits,

The residents frequently play with and near a Hotwire fence on the boundary of the properties, which is 10k+ volts. The dangers of electrical shock are obvious.

There is considerable garbage and athletic equipment (soccer balls, footballs, golf balls, frisbees) thrown onto her property, causing potential danger to and upsetting her livestock. The residents engage in sports numerous times a day, which is understandable and commendable, but which is always accompanied by yelling, arguing and other bothersome behavior.

There is frequent loud vehicular traffic every day and night when the staff switches shifts at all time of the day, including the middle of the night. There is also considerable ongoing construction onsite. The increased number of residents will necessarily result in an increase in garbage bins, which already attract numerous wild animals like opossums and skunks which harbor the inherent danger of rabies and EPM to Ms. Walsh's horses.

Muir Wood Adolescent and Family Services Expansion March 31, 2021 Page 2

Ms. Walsh is aware of several residents running away from the shelter and attempting to hide on some of her neighbors' properties, and is fearful of such behavior, as are her neighbors.

In summary, the shelter already poses problems and issues for Ms. Walsh and the owners of some of the neighboring properties. Any increase in the number of permitted residents will only exacerbate the existing problems. Ms. Walsh therefore objects to the permitted use without a hearing and requests that a public hearing be noticed and conducted so that she and other affected residents may be heard.

Thank you for considering and presenting this letter to the appropriate Sonoma County departments and officials. Your notice indicated that the decision is scheduled for April 8, 2021, and this letter is being sent on March 31, 2021, so I am assuming it will be presented to the appropriate parties well before April 8.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

MILLER & TONG, LLP

Daron D. Tong

Enclosure:

Notice from County of Sonoma

Cc:

Coleen D. Walsh

From: matthew Sherrill <matthewsherill100@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 18, 2021 2:06 PM

To: Joshua Miranda

Subject: Permit Sonoma File No. UPE 20-0008

Joshua,

We are in receipt of the notice of waiver of a board of zoning adjustments public hearing and are writing you to provide our comments on the above-mentioned Permit Sonoma file and concerning Muir Wood Adolescent and Family Services proposed expansion. We are opposed to this application on account of the already high noise and activity levels from the facility, which are not in keeping with the area. No additional residents should be allowed.

Thank you.

signed:

Matthew Sherrill and Karen Sherrill, Magnolia Avenue, Petaluma

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Lori Baker

To: Scott Sowle

Cc: Joshua Miranda; Tennis Wick; Karen Sherrill; Stuart And Simon Corwin

Subject: Notice of Waiver of Public Hearing - Muir Wood

Date: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:18:15 PM

EXTERNAL

Good afternoon, gentlemen. While we have not heard anything more about the hearing date on this matter, I just wanted to touch base with you regarding the current water situation.

We have been in this home for 21 years and have never had a water issue. Our well went dry last week at 140 feet. One of the other neighbors here on the lane experienced the same thing. As a homeowner, this is one of the most frightening things I've ever experienced. We have shared this information with all of the neighbors and we are all taking our water saving measures to the extreme. Nothing obvious has changed here among the neighbors, no new homes, no new farms, etc., the same as it's been for 21 years. I hope we are all taking one minute showers, not flushing every time the toilet is used and cutting way back on irrigation. The farmer that farms here is now bringing in water until everything is harvested.

When we visited Muir Wood in June, you had indicated you would get copies of the septic monitoring reports to us. If the reports are available, we look forward to reviewing them. If they are not available, please let us know.

We hope you consider the severity of this drought and the impact it is having on our neighborhood.

Also, please let me know if the hearing date has been scheduled.

Lori Baker Sent from my iPad

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Janet Parmer</u>
To: <u>Joshua Miranda</u>

Subject: Re: Muir Woods expansion update?

Date: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:34:36 AM

EXTERNAL

Hi Joshua,

Thanks for this information. I may try to get the latest data from the sheriff's office since my first request was a few months ago, and there may be additional deputy call-outs. I know neighbors are very concerned about the potential volume of water usage from the aquifer. It's just single family homes in this area, and with the proposed expansion there would be 20 residents, and probably another 20 staff there daily (including administrators, teachers, counselors, cooks, house cleaners, etc).

Regards,

Janet Parmer

Sent from my iPad

From: <u>Tobias Young</u>

To: <u>Joshua Miranda; Janet Parmer</u>
Subject: Re: Muir Wood in Petaluma

Date: Monday, January 17, 2022 4:34:15 PM

Dear Mr. Miranda,

I am requesting the compliance reports that are required every two years by the state, and the name of the agency that oversees those reports.

I requested this in October, as did one of the commissioners, and have received no reply.

Please forward this information on Tuesday, before the meeting.

Toby

Tobias Young 707-338-6901 tyoung@sierravacation.com

On Oct 14, 2021, at 1:28 PM, Tobias Young < tyoung@sierravacation.com > wrote:

Dear Mr. Miranda,

Please make sure I am added to the notification list for this property. Thank you.

Also, do you have any information on the state agency that oversees this facility and the compliance reports that are required every two years. One of the commissioners requested that information. If you get any information we would like to be able to review it. Thank you.

Toby

Tobias Young 707-338-6901 tyoung@sierravacation.com

From: Tobias Young < tyoung@sierravacation.com >

Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2021 12:04 PM

To: Andrea Krout < Andrea. Krout@sonoma-county.org >

Cc: David Rabbitt < <u>David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org</u>>; Tennis Wick < <u>Tennis.Wick@sonoma-county.org</u>>; Larry Reed < <u>Larry.Reed@sonoma-county.org</u>>; Lynn Morton-Weil < <u>Lynn.Weil@sonoma-county.org</u>>; Tina

Thomas < Tina. Thomas@sonoma-county.org >; Joshua Miranda < Joshua. Miranda@sonoma-county.org >; Scott Orr < Scott. Orr @sonoma-county.org >

Subject: Re: Muir Wood in Petaluma

Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services Expansion Permit Sonoma File #UPE20-0008

Dear Mr. Wick and Planning Staff,

We are asking for the latest expansion request by Muir Woods to be denied for several reasons.

First, they are attempting an increase to 20 "residents," or treatment clients. In addition to the staff, that means at least 30 people will be on a property that was built and intended for a single family residence in a rural residential neighborhood. That many people means traffic, water use, noise, sheriff and emergency response that will create additional conflict with the neighborhood. The facility is already too big for the neighborhood.

Second, any promises made by Muir Woods to assure planners and staff in an attempt to win approval of this new expansion are not to be trusted. Muir Woods has a poor track record when it comes to keeping promises with its neighbors.

When meeting in person with neighbors in 2019, Muir Woods promised its expansion that year would be its last expansion. Now it is requesting a third expansion.

Muir Woods promised its building permit for a new house on the property would be used for recreation and staff meetings, and would not be used for expansion of its facilities. Now the facility is seeking additional expansion into that building.

Muir Woods promised to add us to a list of neighbors to be notified in the event it changed its position and requested future expansions. It did not.

Third, the applicant is using two addresses on the same property to apply for two different permits, as if they are separate property. The property should be restricted to one permit and the operations should be considered as a whole, not as separate entities, when it comes to permit issues.

Finally, Muir Woods under-reported to Permit Sonoma staff the number of sheriff and emergency calls to its facility in 2019, and the facility is underplaying them now.

This treatment facility is in a residential neighborhood. Some of their clients have conditions that can result in violent tendencies. This facility has had numerous sheriff and emergency activity over several years, including runaway teenagers who have fled onto neighboring residential properties trying to escape the facility and sheriff deputies. These runaways have been pursued by deputies past homes and through backyards. At least two of them have been caught, handcuffed and arrested in our neighbor's yard. At least one fleeing resident has interacted with a neighbor, pretending like he broke down and needed a ride and asking to use a cell phone to call someone to help them escape.

This facility is not a good fit in this neighborhood and should not be permitted to expand.

Tobias Young 707-338-6901 tyoung@sierravacation.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: Tobias Young
To: Andrea Krout

Cc: <u>David Rabbitt; Tennis Wick; Larry Reed; Lynn Morton-Weil; Tina Thomas; Joshua Miranda; Scott Orr</u>

Subject: Re: Muir Wood in Petaluma

Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:18:38 PM

Dear Mr. Wick, David Rabbitt, and Planning Staff,

First, let me start out by thanking you for holding an important community meeting.

On a side note, we express some disappointment in the noticing and timing of today's meeting, which may result in reduced participation and public input. A mailed notice, with no additional email notification, was received by only some of the people on the list. The notice was mailed last week and received at the earliest four days ago on Jan. 14, last Friday, which was a holiday weekend. Some concerned constituents have not received the notice. The Zoom meeting requires preregistration by sending an email to a county address, which means people may not be allowed access if they did not have time to preregister. It also gives very little time to do research or prepare our statements.

That said, the Muir Wood drug treatment center on Skillman Lane has been a heavy draw on tax dollars and public safety, requiring more than five dozen Sonoma County Sheriff emergency calls for runaways and assaults alone since it opened. Male teen runaways have fled and hidden in the yards of neighbors, who have had sheriff deputies swarm around their homes at night without explanation to apprehend the escapees. There are also questions about how Muir Wood has been disingenuous with the county and neighbors, bending the rules to achieve prior expansions of its facility.

Our family and concerned neighbors have carefully considered the background of the Muir Wood facility on Skillman Lane in Petaluma. The more information we have gathered, the more concerned we are about our safety and the impact of the treatment center. With the information at hand so far, we are asking Permit Sonoma to deny the proposed Muir Wood expansion. We are also asking Permit Sonoma, as required under its conditions, to revoke Muir Wood's prior use permit based on violating its conditions and constituting a nuisance.

The staff report is noticeably silent on the safety record and law enforcement history of the Muir Wood facility, which has had 108 calls for emergency service (as of October 2021) since it opened. Those calls included 47 runaways and 15 assaults, battery, vandalism, disturbances or fights. Another 46 calls were redacted, probably calls for mental health issues. One of Muir Wood's own lead counselors was arrested in 2016 by Petaluma police on suspicion of drugging and sexually assaulting a teenager. Permit Sonoma staff was directly misled by Muir Wood in 2015 during the permit process, when Muir Wood inaccurately said there were "no disturbances recorded on the subject property."

The history of the expansion of this facility also lacks perspective in the staff report, so allow us to help out.

In 2013, Muir Wood purchased the remodeled single family residence spec home from developer John "Casey" Golterman. Muir Wood then converted the residential home into a six-patient treatment center.

In December 2014, Muir Wood requested a use permit to expand its existing operations to 10 patients and 17 staff, referring to the property as a single six-acre parcel with a required minimum of 20 parking spaces. One of the Permit Sonoma conditions for expansion was that Muir Wood was required to abandon offices and meeting rooms in its secondary dwelling unit to use it for additional patient beds.

The use permit and expansion was granted a few months later, in 2015. We weren't informed of the expansion until after it was granted. However, as neighbors we figured the worse that could happen was the existing expansion. The use permit specified "no new buildings, or additional site development, is requested." Muir Wood could not expand further on the property according to Sonoma County and state regulations, which limit a large treatment facility to 10 patients.

Unfortunately, Muir Wood was tricky in getting around these restrictions.

In 2016, Muir Wood completed a subdivision of the 5.88-acre property. The subdivision was actually started nine years earlier by Golterman, who had received tentative approval with a mitigated negative declaration before abandoning the process and selling to Muir Wood. The mitigated negative declaration declared two residential homes on the property would create little cumulative impact based on several findings, including less than 10 vehicle trips per day.

In 2016, Muir Wood resurrected the lot split. Permit Sonoma inexplicably allowed Muir Wood to use the outdated 2007 mitigated negative declaration, which was based on residential use, despite the changed use to a commercial treatment facility. Permit Sonoma did not take into consideration the new potential development of two large treatment centers on the property. Muir Wood finalized the lot split with Permit Sonoma without additional public review in August of 2016. None of the neighbors who objected to the 2015 expansion were notified.

Once it received approval for the subdivision, Muir Wood still avoided public input. Instead off applying for a second treatment center, Muir Wood applied to build a five-bedroom single family residence and another detached secondary dwelling unit on the second parcel.

The construction was completed in January of 2019. Without informing the county or neighbors, Muir Wood immediately applied to the state to convert the brand new residence into a second six-bedroom treatment facility. The application was granted a new address, seemingly unrelated to the existing operation, raising no red flags by state officials.

Only three months later, in April of 2019, Muir Wood obtained state approval and put the new building into use as a second facility with an additional six patients. No notice or public review was required. Now fast-forward to 2022, and Muir Wood is asking for an additional third expansion.

Muir Wood directly misled neighbors and Permit Sonoma during its first expansion, as well as during the lot split and subsequent construction. The applicant, including Muir Wood's Executive Director Scott Sowle and Director of Admissions Rene Genovese, assured neighbors over the phone and during in-person meetings that no further expansion would occur. When we asked about the new construction, Sowle told us the new buildings would be used for recreation and meeting rooms for existing clients and staff, and would specifically not be used for expansion.

The state regulatory agency would not have allowed Muir Wood to expand in 2016 on the original property without the subdivision. Likewise, Permit Sonoma would not have allowed an expansion or a second treatment facility on the property. Muir Wood got around that problem by pretending they were building a residential home, which Permit Sonoma could not question, then immediately converting it to a new facility under a different address.

The subdivision was a disingenuous way to bend the rules. The process has allowed the facility to expand from six patients and 14 staff in 2013 to 10 patients and 17 staff in 2015 to 16 patients and 19 staff in 2019, all while limiting public review and input. Now Muir Wood wants to expand to 20 patients and 21 staff. Muir Wood has expanded quickly, opening 8 of these operations in Petaluma residential areas. We wonder what kinds of calls for emergency service those facilities are requiring.

Muir Wood has not been a good neighbor, putting expansion and profits above transparency and community safety. When it is convenient for the company, Muir Wood pretends it has a single facility and operates it as such. At other times, when convenient, Muir Wood pretends like the property is two facilities with no connection to each other.

Both buildings on the Skillman Lane property are accessed by a single driveway, share the same parking spaces and are served by a single aquifer. Most of the staff appear to work at both buildings, not just one. It appears that recreational activities and certain meetings and dining occurs in a single setting, not separately.

When Muir Wood refers to calls for service by the Sonoma County Sheriff's Office, it has in the past been inaccurate in its figures and unclear if it is referring to one facility or both. When Muir Wood is justifying its water supply, it acts as if it is drawing water from one well for one facility instead of two wells for two facilities. When Muir Wood refers to parking, it is unclear if is referring to the available parking on the entire six acres or on each lot, since each facility according to the use permit is required to have a minimum of 20 parking spots each, or 40 parking spaces in all.

In addition, it appears as if Muir Wood is not done with its expansion plans. In August of 2020 it applied for an additional 30-foot diameter yurt on the property, which was denied by Permit Sonoma.

Muir Wood may have a noble mission as a high-end treatment center. That does not mean the facility should be allowed to continue its track record and put at risk the safety of the neighborhood. Also, please keep in mind that some of the supporters of Muir Wood who sent in letters did not disclose they have profited from the development of the facility.

Sincerely,

Tobias and Michelle Young

P.S. — This letter is also supported by some of neighbors, including Rick and Janet Parmer.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Janet Parmer</u>

To: <u>Tennis Wick; Joshua Miranda; David Rabbitt; Andrea Krout</u>

Subject: Fwd: Call logs for 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane

Date: Friday, January 21, 2022 12:50:32 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

1733 SKILLMAN.pdf 1734 SKILLMAN.pdf

Hello all,

Today I received the updated sheriff's call-out log for the Muir Wood treatment center on Skillman Lane in Petaluma for October 2021 to present.

I'm forwarding it below. You'll see 3 additional runaways, 2 additional disturbances and 5 additional redacted call-outs.

I also did an annual tally of reported runaways requesting sheriff's deputy response since Muir Wood opened. You will see below the increasing number of runaways, especially in the last two years, as the center has expanded:

2014: 4

2015: 4

2016: 6

2017: 5

2018: 3

2019: 5

2020: 13

2021: 9

Can the updated log be included in the information packet for Board of Zoning Adjustments commissioners prior to the February 10th meeting?

On a separate topic, Coleen Walsh, a neighbor whose property is directly next door to the west of Muir Wood, said she did not receive the postcard announcing the meeting this week with Supervisor Rabbitt.

Thank you, Janet Parmer Jhparmer@comcast.net 707-480-2802

Sent from my iPad

From: <u>Janet Parmer</u>

To: <u>Joshua Miranda</u>; <u>Tennis Wick</u>; <u>David Rabbitt</u>; <u>Andrea Krout</u>

Subject: Safety questions for staff report related to Muir Wood proposed expansion

Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 3:51:07 PM

EXTERNAL

Hello Joshua,

I have a couple of questions related to the Muir Wood Treatment Center expansion proposal.

A group of neighbors is requesting analysis in your staff report about what impact a third expansion would have on neighborhood safety.

As part of this analysis, it would be helpful to know the extent of public funds used for sheriff's department and fire department responses for service to the property (both 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane).

Have you included in your staff report the sheriff call-out data for both addresses from 2014 to the present? I can provide that information if you don't already have it.

For example, since Muir Wood opened, there have been 50 requests for sheriff response because of runaways, and 17 requests for service because of battery, vandalism, disturbances or fights. In addition, there have been 51 calls for service that are redacted on the public record, and we're told by sheriff's dept. staff they most likely represent abuse or mental health incidents.

Incidentally, since the Muir Wood subdivision to two separate lots, and the addition of more teenagers, there have been an increasing numbers of runaways. In 2020, 13 teens fled and in 2021, 9 teens fled.

On a personal note, there have been two separate incidents in the last few years when runaway boys have been pursued by sheriff's deputies and apprehended on our property on Fair Avenue. It was frightening, and obviously security is a problem there if so many boys are running away.

Have you obtained fire department call-out logs for the 2 addresses for that time period?

I'm trying to obtain that data from the Rancho Adobe Fire Department and City of Petaluma Fire Department but am told it could be at least 2 weeks until the reports could be ready, which would mean the report might not be ready before the Feb. 10 Board of Zoning Adjustments meeting.

Also, we would like to get the email addresses of all current Board of Zoning Adjustment members who will be attending, and presumably voting, during the Feb. 10 meeting.

Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Regards, Janet Parmer Jhparmer@comcast.net 707-480-2802

Sent from my iPad

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,

do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: <u>Tobias Young</u>

To: Scott Orr; Tennis Wick; Alexandria Sullivan; Joshua Miranda

Subject: Re: Denial of Muir Wood expansion and revocation of 2016 expansion

Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 8:19:41 PM

Hi Mr. Orr,

Our group is also requesting an answer to the question of whether the Muir Wood facility on Skillman Lane is following the requirements of being two separate facilities, when by all practical measures it is operated as one facility.

The site is two facilities in name only, but not in reality. Muir Wood has one driveway. It has parking shared by both facilities. It has a single aquifer used by both facilities. It has group meetings for all its patients in a single room. It has a basketball court on one facility used by patients from both facilities. It has a single recreation room for both facilities. Employees are overseeing both facilities at one time.

I'm sure there are many more examples of how the property is truly one facility instead of two. Since that is the case, the county is under no obligation to allow over 40 patients and staff at a single location to increase profits at the expense of a residential neighborhood. Muir Wood is acting as this is a single property to apply for expansion, yet counts both properties when it comes to parking, water tanks and other requirements. How are conditions and requirements on the property met or enforced, such as 26 parking spaces for one facility alone? What county rule allows conditional requirements on one property to be met by a neighboring property?

Tobias Young

On Jan 23, 2022, at 21:36, Tobias Young tyoung@sierravacation.com> wrote:

EXTERNAL

I went online, but I didn't find the individual emails and phone numbers for the Board of Zoning Adjustment members. Can you direct me? Thanks!

On Jan 23, 2022, at 21:14, Tobias Young tyoung@sierravacation.com wrote:

Hi Mr. Wick,

Myself and a group of neighbors are hoping you can address some of the questions and comments we have below about the

proposed Muir Wood expansion in Petaluma.

- 1) The staff report is flawed in recommending approval of the Muir Wood expansion. The staff failed to research and address a significant issue of public safety and has minimized issues such as water, noise, parking traffic and lights. The report also did not address the impact of a double-facility at one location. What is the best way for the neighbors to bring the members of the Board of Zoning Adjustments up to speed so they understand the problems this facility is creating in our neighborhood?
- 2) At least two neighbors in the notification area have said they did not receive notification of the expansion and of last week's community meeting. Can you share the notification list so we can reach out?
- 3) There are some question regarding what the county or sheriff considers a reasonable number of emergency calls for a facility such as Muir Wood. If you have that information we would like it. However, it is irrelevant to the number of calls at Muir Wood. The bottom line is there were 108 calls from 2013 to October of 2021. confirming a pattern of negligence and poor management at the facility. Runaways are repeatedly escaping the facility and being arrested after trespassing and hiding at the homes of neighbors. No matter what the operators of Muir Wood might argue, there are simply too many emergency responses. Muir Wood is placing a burden on our limited Sonoma Sheriff's Office resources and it represents a nuisance and a danger to the community.

A single six-patient treatment center may not need county approval, but facilities with larger patient numbers do require conditional use permits and are not afforded such protection. The emergency calls and the impact to the neighborhood have increased substantially since the last time the facility expanded from 10 to 16 patients. If

the facility expands again, the number of calls will increase yet again. It is clear the new expansion should be denied and the previous expansion should be revoked. What are the steps necessary to deny expansion and revoke the 2016 expansion? Also, please forward the list of conditions the facility was required for 2016.

- 4) No amount of mitigation can fix the problems at Muir Wood that are impacting the neighborhood. If the facility installed chainlink fencing, gates and 24-hour guards, that doesn't fit the character of our residential neighborhood and it would be further proof it is out of place and a risk to public safety. Solutions such as these would be like placing a jail in the middle of a residential neighborhood. Even if runaways, assaults and other calls were cut in half those numbers would be unacceptable.
- 5) The owners of this facility, an LLC called KKS, operates Muir Wood and are owners in several other LLCs including North Bay Recovery Center, Knight Capital Investments, and GT Investments. Scott Sowle and the investors from the Knight family in San Rafael and Arizona have been rapidly expanding, opening eight new treatment centers in Petaluma in the past few years. I included one KKS ownership statement below. We understand there is a need for treatment centers in Petaluma. However, the pace of expansion, the public safety issues and the lack of transparency clearly reveals this expansion is more about profits than treating the local population. At \$30,000 a month per teen, the owners have a significant profit incentive to max out the number of patients. Many of these patients are coming from other counties and other states, establishing the number of facilities are more than needed to serve the local population.

Sincerely,

Tobias Young

From: <u>Tobias Young</u>
To: <u>Hannah Spencer</u>

Cc: Scott Orr; Tennis Wick; Alexandria Sullivan; Joshua Miranda

Subject: Re: Denial of Muir Wood expansion and revocation of 2016 expansion

Date: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:56:13 PM

Hi Hannah,

Thanks for your reply. However, you didn't address most of my questions.

The biggest complaint the community has with a staff report that suggests approval of this project is the argument that you are only adding four more teens and two more staff members. We're talking about the cumulative impact of 42 people at one location.

This expansion request cannot be considered in a vacuum. If this expansion is approved, the county is placing 20 teens and 22 staff members, not to mention auxiliary support, on a residential property with two single family homes. This is not a question of four more patients and two more staff members. This is a question of a facility with no oversight or accountability that is eroding the quality of a residential neighborhood with noise, traffic, lights, water use and a significant public safety risk as evidenced by an overwhelming number of law enforcement calls.

The immediate neighbors are harassed with noise and lighting issues. The number of runaways alone add up to one per month. The facility is currently a nuisance. As required under Condition 40 of its conditional use permit, Muir Wood's existing expansion should be revoked and the new expansion should be denied.

Here is a summary of some of my previous questions that are not answered.

- 1. How are conditions and requirements on the property met or enforced, such as 26 parking spaces for one facility alone? What county rule allows conditional requirements on one property to be met by a neighboring property?
- 2) Several neighbors in the notification area have said they did not receive notification of the expansion and of last week's community meeting. Can you share the notification contact information list so we can reach out?
- 3) What are the steps necessary to deny expansion and revoke the 2014 expansion?
- 4) What type of noise, water issues, violence, runaways and other issues has to take place for the county to invoke Condition 40 and revoke Muir Wood's current conditional use permit?

S	i	n	c	eı	re	ly	,

Toby

Would you mind sending it again?

The Skillman neighbors who are currently concerned about a third expansion definitely want to include those people in the discussion, and many of them may not know it's coming up for review again on February 10.

Thanks so much.

And on a separate topic, I think the Zoom meeting went well last week and was a good exchange of thoughts on the issue. Thanks for organizing it.

Regards,

Janet Parmer

Sent from my iPad

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

To: Planning Division, Project Review:

Josh Miranda, Planner I, <u>Joshua.Miranda@sonoma-county.org</u>

and Board of Zoning Adjustments Commissioners: PlanningAgency@sonoma-county.org

From: Joseph and Maureen McNiff

1810 Skillman Lane Petaluma, CA 94952

Re: Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services Use Permit Application

Permit Sonoma File No. UPE20-0008

We are writing this letter in opposition to the expansion of the Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services treatment facilities located at 1743 Skillman Lane due to significant and negative impacts on our rural residential neighborhood.

The action that will come before the Board on February 10 would amount to the third expansion of the facility from the original 6 residents to 20 residents (not counting staff for all required shifts).

As neighbors, our collective experience with Muir Wood has impacted us all very negatively. We have experienced serious problems due to environmental impacts, diminished neighborhood security, reduction in property desirability, resale value and quality of life.

As documented by sheriff activity calls, neighbors are subjected to unsettling and disruptive noise, yelling and swearing, loitering, and increased traffic, at all hours. There have also been troubling instances of runaways hiding on neighboring properties, and multiple reports of security and supervision problems.

Septic and water issues are of vital concern to all of us in this rural area. Well and septic complications and water runoff problems can cause nightmare scenarios for homeowners, and these problems are often not easily dealt with or recovered from.

Our property draws from the same aquifer where a neighbor already had their well go dry at the current level of operation of the Muir Wood facility. Septic monitoring records and well consumption data must be analyzed to determine the environmental impact on nearby residents.

In this time of climate change, drought and economic hardship, there is even more at stake for property owners. The amount of people at the Muir Wood center directly impacts the aquifer that we share and complicates risk for septic and related issues, too.

Muir Wood at its current level of expansion has already brought problems to our neighborhood. Septic complications and water consumption issues, along with increased police activity are major concerns for neighbors, greatly impacting our financial reserves while jeopardizing public safety, which dramatically decreases our quality of life.

These concerns have a direct effect on our property values because homeowners must disclose to any potential buyers any such issues. That is our legal obligation, and as we comply with that requirement, we are likely to have difficulty marketing our homes and finding quality buyers if and when we need to sell. Real estate is often the biggest lifetime investment many of us will ever make, and lowered resale viability and loss of property value cause real hardships.

We strongly urge the County to limit Muir Wood to its current operational level and direct the organization to mitigate the documented negative impacts on the surrounding neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Maureen McNiff Joseph McNiff

January 29, 2022

To: Planning Division, Project Review:

Josh Miranda, Planner I, Joshua. Miranda@sonoma-county.org

and Board of Zoning Adjustments Commissioners: PlanningAgency@sonoma-county.org

From: Ann Dewi Mooney

Justin McNiff

Poppy Montessori Family Day Care

1810 Skillman Lane Petaluma, CA 94952

Re: Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services Use Permit Application

Permit Sonoma File No. UPE20-0008

We are writing this letter in opposition to the expansion of the Muir Wood Adolescent & Family Services treatment facilities located at 1743 Skillman Lane due to significant and negative impacts on our rural residential neighborhood.

I run a licensed Montessori daycare/school program at 1810 Skillman Lane, which is also our family home, shared with my husband and young daughter. Our essential business depends upon families feeling that their children are safe in our rural setting, and of course that is also of utmost importance to us both as parents and in our chosen livelihood. Our rural location has always been considered very desirable and healthy by our school families. An expanding for-profit center specializing in high-risk teens (with no visible security and where clients or visitors can and do act out) is counter-productive to any of us feeling safe and comfortable as neighbors. Increasing capacity increases all impacts on us as neighbors.

The attached Sheriff's Log paints a very concerning (if not alarming) picture of law enforcement activity that Muir Wood has brought to the neighborhood. The calls noted "Missing Person at Risk" indicate that at its current operational level, security is inadequate, which poses a real risk to the neighborhood, and particularly to me as a daycare business owner entrusted with the weighty responsibility of the daily care of young children.

Our school program includes year-round use of an outdoor/nature curriculum and play yard for our little ones. As a daycare operator, I am required, both through County licensing and my own insurance stipulations to follow strict safety protocols, including on-site property inspections, to ensure the safety of the children's physical environment. I am legally required to have adequate supervision of children by my staff at all times in mandated student-teacher ratios. Muir Wood must also be held to a higher standard of security, especially considering the at-risk teen population they serve. Their lack of security as shown by the number of Sheriff calls are a measurable negative consequence that is happening regularly under their watch. This impacts both their at-risk teen clients as well as us as their neighbors. Obviously, incidents would increase with an expansion that

would allow even more clients, and the County cannot and should not allow that to happen, as it is irresponsible to Muir Wood clients, residents, guest families, employed staff, and everyone else who lives in, works in, or visits the neighborhood.

We strongly urge you to recognize the needs and real issues facing families who are neighbors of Muir Wood, including essential business owners like myself and the others nearby caring for people who are especially vulnerable. We urgently ask you to limit Muir Wood's use to the current level and also require that all public safety concerns be addressed and resolved.

Sincerely,

Ann Dewi Mooney

Justin McNiff

Event Date	Reporting Agency	Call Number	Case Number	Situation Reported	Location	Responders
2013-10-12T15:09:39	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD132850132	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Yoder, Michael
	·		Ĭ.			[RAGAN, SABRINA] [MAURO,
2014-01-07T22:58:02	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD140070255	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	JAMES]
2014-01-25T09:15:13	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD140250047	No Case Assigned	ANIMAL CONTROL CALL	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2014-01-30T18:52:54	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD140300191	SD140130019	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[PETERSON, JOHN] [Jones, Brandon]
2014-01-30T23:46:10	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD140300237	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	PETERSON, JOHN
2014-04-12T17:08:15	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD141020174	SD140412029	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2014-09-15T12:34:50	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD142580107	SD140915012	BATTERY JUST OCCURRED	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[DeSantis, Timothy] [Gelhaus, Erick]
2014-09-15T15:03:48	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD142580142	No Case Assigned	TELEPHONE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2014-11-08T03:39:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD143120032	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	EDWARDS, DANIEL
						ł
2015-02-01T19:32:54	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD150320121	No Case Assigned	AGENCY ASSIST - BY SHERIFF'S DEPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2015-05-06T23:00:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151260192	SD150506025	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	FRAMPTON, CHARLES
2015-05-07T01:47:03	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151270011	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	FRAMPTON, CHARLES
2015-05-15T11:06:41	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151350053	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jones, Brandon] [HUNTER, MARK]
2015-07-04T15:50:50	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151850133	SD150704025	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jucutan, Jeremy
2015-07-05T20:28:04	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151860175	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jucutan, Jeremy
2015-07-10T14:48:30	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD151910098	SD150710024	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jones, Brandon
2016-01-20T01:52:42	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD160200015	No Case Assigned	SECURITY CHECK	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Yoder, Michael] [COATE, MICHAEL]
2016-02-10T13:29:28	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD160410100	SD160210014	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Gelhaus, Erick
2016-02-10T16:46:17	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD160410149	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Gelhaus, Erick
2016-04-10T13:30:29	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161010084	SD160410010	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2016-04-11T18:36:22	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161020159	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2016-04-11T22:45:58	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161020205	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	HUNTER, MARK
2016-04-14T11:56:26	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161050119	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2016-04-24T20:29:30	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161150175	SD160424022	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Yoder, Michael
2016-04-24T21:31:32	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161150191	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Yoder, Michael
2016-05-31T20:02:15	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161520228	SD160531030	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Aldridge, Mark] [COATE, MICHAEL]
				LAW, FIRE AND AMBULANCE		
2016-06-01T19:36:53	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161530211	No Case Assigned	RELATED EVENT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Yoder, Michael] [COATE, MICHAEL]
2016-06-12T00:16:59	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD161640006	SD160612003	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Sealock, Michael
						[Miale, Cayla / Miale, Cayla] [Lyle,
2016-07-21T15:11:59	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD162030144	SD160721013		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jeremy]
-						[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Crum, Spencer]
2016-11-21T18:50:39	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163260158	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jax, Nicholas]
						[McCracken, Scott] [Avina, Daniel]
2016-12-03T15:17:22	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163380110	No Case Assigned	VANDALISM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[McBeth, Codey] [Howard, Tom]
2016-12-04T15:42:19	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163390116	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	McBeth, Codey

						[Seibold, Eric] [Edney, David]
2016-12-04T16:31:40	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163390126	SD161204020	VANDALISM REPORT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Goforth, Kalvin / Hunter, Mark
2016-12-29T15:27:49	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163640119	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jones, Brandon] [Fomasi, John
						[Jones, Brandon] [Jones, Sean]
2016-12-30T13:46:31	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD163650084	SD161230016		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Clark, Andrew] [Mittenthal, A.
2017-01-15T09:09:36	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD170150046	No Case Assigned	ANIMAL CONTROL CALL	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
						[Vernon, Alan] [Fomasi, John]
						[Miale, Cayla / Miale, Cayla]
						[TAYLOR, CHRIS] [Cameron, Ed
2017-02-16T09:16:30	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD170470050	SD170216009	TRANSPORTATION	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Avina, Daniel]
						[Forghani, Shawn] [McCracken
2017-02-18T16:51:06	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD170490132	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Scott] [Jax, Nicholas]
2017-04-09T01:03:21	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD170990016	SD170409006	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[McCracken, Scott] [Negri, Dan
2017-04-09T20:15:34	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD170990133	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	McCracken, Scott
2017-04-10T04:56:02	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD171000022	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2017-09-26T11:58:19	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172690082	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Seibold, Eric
	Continua country chains a chine					[McBeth, Codey] [Fomasi, John
2017-09-28T09:44:57	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172710057	SD170928009		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Clark, Andrew]
2017-10-05T15:13:15	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172780109	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Clark, Andrew
2017-10-05T23:18:28	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172780196	SD171006001	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Edney, David] [McCready, Cod
2017-10-06T01:58:27	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172790017	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	McCready, Cody
2017-10-06T09:47:51	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172790058	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Clark, Andrew
2017-10-06T10:30:05	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172790065	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Clark, Andrew
2017-10-06T11:21:15	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172790076	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Clark, Andrew
2017-10-06T12:09:13	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD172790088	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Clark, Andrew
2017-11-23T22:13:56	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173270134	SD171123016	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jax, Nicholas
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					,
2017-11-26T15:20:53	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173300109	SD171126015		1733 SKILLMAN LN	McGoon, Kevin
2017-11-27T12:49:20	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173310079	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
						[LIBEU, NATHANIEL] [Miller,
2017-11-28T19:52:52	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173320147	SD171128015	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Nicholas]
2017-11-28T22:25:56	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173320165	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	LIBEU, NATHANIEL
	•					[Galovich, Thomas] [Jones,
2017-12-12T15:25:08	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD173460127	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Brandon] [Fomasi, John]
2018-01-13T09:59:45	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180130054	No Case Assigned	TELEPHONE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Brinkman, Taylor
2019 01 27700-25-22	Sanama Caunty Shaviffle Office	SD190370054	No Coso Assign - d		1722 CKILL MANULAL	[Toulor Bownn] [Schindol Hoi
2018-01-27T09:35:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180270054	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW LID / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Taylor, Rowan] [Schindel, Hei
2018-01-27T11:59:20	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180270081	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Schindel, Heidi
2018-01-27T13:45:01	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180270095	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Schindel, Heidi
2018-01-27T14:24:20	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180270099	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Schindel, Heidi] [Taylor, Rowa

g. f

2018-02-05T20:53:21	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180360201	SD180205026	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Keller, Francine
2018-02-07T09:38:34	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180380050	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
2018-02-19T14:24:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD180500087	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Galovich, Thomas] [Fomasi, John]
2018-04-13T20:18:19	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD181030156	SD180413024		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
2018-05-01T17:50:58	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD181210183	SD180501032	DISTURBANCE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Holton, Marcus] [Gelhaus, Erick]
2018-05-01T21:12:41	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD181210219	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2018-05-01T21:14:11	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD181210220	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2010 03 01121:14:11	Solionia county sherin s office	00101110110	Tre case / losigited	1022011 01 7 1101 201107112		[Carlson, Matthew / Newman, John]
						[Dunlap, Richard] [Keller, Francine]
2018-08-04T09:25:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD182160055	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Burris, Kelly]
2018-08-05T18:16:34	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD182170201	No Case Assigned	MISSING FERSON AT MISK III T	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Surrisy Newy]
2018-08-05T18:10:34 2018-08-06T18:17:36	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD182170201	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE REPORT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jax, Nicholas
2018-08-00118.17.30	Soliona County Sherin 3 Office	30102100133	NO Case Assigned	DISTORDANCE REPORT	1755 SKILLIVIAN LIV	[Galovich, Thomas] [KOEPPEL,
2018-08-23T10:24:31	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD182350077	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	TRAVISI
2018-08-23110:24:31	Soliona County Sherin's Office	30182330077	NO Case Assigned	WISSING FERSON AT RISK RFT	1733 SKILLIVIAN LIN	[Galovich, Thomas] [KOEPPEL,
2010 10 22700-52-56	Sanama County Showiff's Office	CD1930E0037	SD181022003		1733 SKILLMAN LN	TRAVIS]
2018-10-22T08:52:56	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD182950037	30161022003		1733 SKILLIVIAN LIN	TIMVIS
2040 40 20740 20 20	Samuel Country Charittle Office	CD102020100	CD191030019		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[DeMarta, Ryan] [Myers, Joseph]
2018-10-30T19:29:38	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD183030199	SD181030018		1733 SKILLIVIAN LIN	[Forghani, Shawn] [Hunt, Aaron]
2010 10 17700 05 17	Company of the compan	CD402540470	No Coop Applement	DISTURBANCE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jax, Nicholas]
2018-12-17T22:36:47	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD183510178	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE	1755 SKILLIVIAN LIN	[Villeggiante, Tyler] [Shiperly,
2040 04 0472242.54	Samuel Country Shariffle Office	CD400040407	CD100104030		1722 CVILLAGANIA	James]
2019-01-04T22:12:51	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD190040197	SD190104020		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Galovich, Thomas] [KOEPPEL,
					4700 CKILLDAAN LAL	↓ -
2019-01-14T13:46:04	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD190140086	SD190114013	DISTURDANCE DEDORT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	TRAVIS]
2019-04-15T15:43:32	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191050102	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE REPORT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
l					4700 01/11 1 4 4 4 1 1 4 1	formations Channel (Calliana Frield
2019-04-25T17:33:16	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191150165	SD190425030	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Forghani, Shawn] [Gelhaus, Erick]
2019-04-26T00:05:47	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191160001	No Case Assigned	ATTEMPT TO CONTACT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Puleo, Jordan
						[Galovich, Thomas] [KOEPPEL,
2019-05-02T12:44:19	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191220076	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	TRAVIS]
2019-05-09T16:16:05	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191290130	No Case Assigned	BATTERY REPORT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2019-05-25T20:59:31	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191450164	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Villeggiante, Tyler] [Edney, David]
2019-07-01T16:14:47	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191820122	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2019-07-12T14:16:14	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191930098	SD190712017		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
2019-07-21T18:07:35	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192020136	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
2019-07-21T19:13:21	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192020151	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2019-07-21T22:13:29	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192020170	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
2019-07-26T19:22:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192070133	SD190726015	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
						[Brazis, Phil] [Villeggiante, Tyler]
2019-07-27T16:12:17	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192080095	SD190727009		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Solorzano, Luke]
						[Galovich, Thomas] [Crachiola,
2019-07-29T15:01:58	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192100118	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jacky]

,

		1		1		[Gelhaus, Erick] [Vega, Jose]
						[Rountree, Tim] [Fomasi, John]
2010 00 26717:15:01	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192380122	SD190826017		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Tuaua, Daniel]
2019-08-26T17:15:01					1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2019-09-30T15:03:14	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192730115	No Case Assigned		1/33 SKILLIVIAN LIN	Holton, Marcus
2019-10-01T17:34:35	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192740153	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Dillion, Robert] [Holton, Marcus]
						[Foiles, Ryan] [Dillion, Robert]
2040 40 44742 40 27	S S	CD402070072	CD101014012	VANDALISM ILIST OSCUDDED	1722 CHILLAANIIN	[Houser, Jeremy] [Schmidt, Fred]
2019-10-14T13:10:27	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192870072	SD191014013	VANDALISM JUST OCCURRED	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Goforth, Kalvin / Hunter, Mark]
					4722 CKILLA 4 4 4 1 1 1	1-
2019-10-15T15:16:02	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192880115	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Cooper, Joshua]
2019-10-17T16:22:25	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192900131	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2019-10-17T17:35:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192900150	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2019-10-19T15:35:41	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD192920101	SD191019008		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[McKinnon, Scott] [Brazis, Phil]
2019-10-27T18:48:05	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD193000271	SD191027005	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Martinez Ordaz, Veronica
2019-10-27T22:59:06	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD193000333	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Martinez Ordaz, Veronica
2019-11-27T17:59:22	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD193310137	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Fomasi, John] [Forghani, Shawn]
2019-12-27T17:50:06	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD193610129	No Case Assigned	FOUND PROPERTY	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Villeggiante, Tyler
2023 22 27 127 100 100						00 / 1
2020-01-05T14:06:43	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200050075	SD200105015		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Geddes, Zachary] [McGoon, Kevin]
2020-02-25T19:18:52	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200560176	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Holton, Marcus
2020-02-25T21:29:49	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200560193	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2020-03-03T23:34:26	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200630215	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jax, Nicholas] [Smith, Kyle]
2020-03-04T21:08:06	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200640174	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Fomasi, John] [Holton, Marcus]
2020-03-05T09:07:54	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200650047	SD200305003	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Galovich, Thomas
2020-03-05T21:22:03	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200650194	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2020 02 06709:09:54	Sanama County Shariff's Office	SD200660042	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Geddes, Zachary] [Ricks, Joseph]
2020-03-06T08:08:54	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office				1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Ritz, Justin] [Briggs, Garrett]
2020-03-22T21:50:34	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200820103	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT		
2020-03-24T19:49:43	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200840121	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
			l		4700 0//// 4444/ 44	[Villeggiante, Tyler] [Keller,
2020-03-28T15:15:17	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200880057	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Francine] [Geddes, Zachary]
2020-04-04T09:31:26	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200950036	SD200404008	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Keller, Francine] [Burris, Kelly]
2020-04-04T14:07:49	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200950079	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2020-04-07T20:18:40	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD200980165	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
2020-05-12T19:07:08	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD201330125	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
2020-07-10T12:03:07	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD201920078	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Thez, Kenneth] [Geddes, Zachary]
			<u> </u>			[Miale, Cayla / Miale, Cayla]
	1					[Geddes, Zachary] [Villeggiante,
2020-07-17T16:03:00	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD201990104	No Case Assigned	SECURITY CHECK	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Tyler]
2020-07-28T12:59:45	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202100090	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Houser, Jeremy] [Galovich, Thomas]

,

2020-09-08T17:01:55	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202520155	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	McCracken, Scott
			Ĭ			[Galovich, Thomas] [Houser,
2020-09-09T15:15:31	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202530120	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Jeremy]
2020-09-14T20:41:37	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202580126	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Holton, Marcus] [Castro, Rosendo]
2020-09-18T20:40:40	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202620167	SD200918020	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Malatesta, Lucas
2020-09-18T23:22:57	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202620196	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Malatesta, Lucas
2020-09-19T08:53:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202630040	No Case Assigned	TELEPHONE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Geddes, Zachary
2020-09-23T12:26:05	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202670076	No Case Assigned	CIVIL SERVICE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Ryan, Jim
2020-09-23T12:41:41	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202670079	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Galovich, Thomas] [Seda, Josh]
2020-10-06T17:01:22	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202800134	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Edney, David
2020-10-14T12:41:55	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202880077	SD201014014	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Castro, Rosendo
2020-10-14T16:15:16	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202880118	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Castro, Rosendo
2020-10-24T17:55:47	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202980146	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Pfingsten, Dylan
	•					
2020-10-25T10:59:15	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD202990043	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Geddes, Zachary] [McGoon, Kevin]
	,	İ				
2020-11-06T13:14:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD203110115	No Case Assigned	BATTERY JUST OCCURRED	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Glosser, Daniel] [Minaglia, George]
2020-11-16T16:51:05	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD203210118	SD201116016		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Fomasi, John] [Capron, David]
2020-11-18T09:48:59	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD203230053	No Case Assigned	CIVIL SERVICE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Ryan, Jim
2020-12-11T16:21:42	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD203460089	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	
			1			[Galovich, Thomas] [LITTLE, JASON]
2021-01-19T09:06:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210190056	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Smith, Eric]
2021-01-25T19:58:02	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210250136	SD210125019	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Holton, Marcus] [Fomasi, John]
2021-01-26T14:06:42	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210260101	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Smith, Eric
2021-02-02T09:29:03	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210330052	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Galovich, Thomas] [Smith, Eric]
2021-03-02T19:18:00	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210610127	SD210302014		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Holton, Marcus] [Castro, Rosendo]
2021-03-17T16:38:45	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210760111	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Fomasi, John
2021-03-20T16:57:08	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210790100	SD210320013		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Clayton, Justin]
						[Pfingsten, Dylan] [Malatesta,
2021-03-27T19:56:33	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210860135	No Case Assigned	SECURITY CHECK	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Lucas]
2021-03-27T21:26:20	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210860147	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Malatesta, Lucas
	·					
2021-04-03T22:37:49	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210930155	SD210403013		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Miale, Cayla]
2021-04-07T17:00:17	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD210970114	SD210407010		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Holton, Marcus] [Fomasi, John]
	•					[GALOVICH, TOM] [Brinkman,
2021-04-21T10:15:21	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211110045	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Taylor]
2021-04-21T11:38:18	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211110068	No Case Assigned	SECURITY CHECK	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2021-04-21T13:43:52		SD211110086	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	GALOVICH, TOM
2021-05-01T14:50:55	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211210103	SD210501008		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Glosser, Daniel] [Foiles, Ryan]
2021-04-21T11:38:18 2021-04-21T13:43:52	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211110068 SD211110086	No Case Assigned No Case Assigned	SECURITY CHECK	1733 SKILLMAN LN 1733 SKILLMAN LN 1733 SKILLMAN LN 1733 SKILLMAN LN	[GALOVICH, TOM] [Brinkman, Taylor] Brinkman, Taylor GALOVICH, TOM

2021-05-08T09:02:38	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211280034	SD210508004		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Minaglia, George] [Foiles, Ryan]
2021-05-31T11:39:01	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211510064	SD210531010		1733 SKILLMAN LN	Smith, Eric
2021-05-31T14:06:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211510097	No Case Assigned	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	
2021-06-20T15:13:02	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211710112	SD210620011	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Glosser, Daniel]
2021-07-05T12:44:29	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211860077	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[GALOVICH, TOM] [Smith, Eric]
2021-07-18T17:58:27	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD211990125	SD210718016		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Mahoney, William] [Allison, Michael]
2021-07-23T21:12:26	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212040174	SD210723023	FIGHT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Miale, Cayla] [Malatesta, Lucas]
2021-07-31T10:19:50	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212120047	SD210731003		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[GALOVICH, TOM] [Foiles, Ryan]
2021-09-03T13:58:59	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212460083	SD210903009	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Pierre, Mike
2021-09-06T09:04:13	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212490036	SD210906002	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[GALOVICH, TOM] [Jax, Nicholas]
2021-09-06T22:29:37	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212490138	SD210906018	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Capron, David
2021-09-20T18:20:24	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212630141	No Case Assigned		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Crivello, Anthony] [Miller, Nicholas]
2021-09-25T15:49:30	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212680111	No Case Assigned	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Williams, Kensell] [Doherty, Trevor]
2021-09-26T20:19:23	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212690123	No Case Assigned	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Miale, Cayla] [Miller, Nicholas] [Camarena, Sam]
2021-10-03T10:23:53	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212760042	SD211003004	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Glosser, Daniel] [Foiles, Ryan]
2021-10-07T18:42:21	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212800108	SD211007009		1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Jucutan, Jeremy] [Miale, Cayla] [Camarena, Sam] [Vega, Jose]
2021-10-07T20:01:50	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD212800114	SD211007012	CHECK THE WELFARE	1733 SKILLMAN LN	[Campos, Hector] [Miale, Cayla] [Dunlap, Richard] [Camarena, Sam]

Event Date	Reporting Agency	Call Number	Case Number	Situation Reported	Location	Responders
2019-05-19T18:44:10	Sonoma County Sheriff's Office	SD191390122	No Case Assigned	DISTURBANCE REPORT	1743 SKILLMAN LN	Seda, Josh

CALLS FOR SERVICE TO 1733 SKILLMAN LN 10/07/2021-01/21/2022

Event #	Report #	Nature Code	Date	Address	City
SD212800108	SD211007009		10/7/2021 6:42:21 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212800114	SD211007012	CHECK THE WELFARE	10/7/2021 8:01:50 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212870070		MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	10/14/2021 2:18:41 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212870085	SD211014012	DISTURBANCE	10/14/2021 3:30:27 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212900141	SD211018001	MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	10/17/2021 11:36:03 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212910021		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/18/2021 6:02:24 AM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212910116		TELEPHONE	10/18/2021 7:12:05 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212910151		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/18/2021 10:13:36 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212910156		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/18/2021 10:54:10 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD212920007		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/19/2021 12:40:03 AM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213000085		CHECK THE WELFARE	10/27/2021 1:41:34 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213000096	SD211027009	CHECK THE WELFARE	10/27/2021 2:32:11 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213000114		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/27/2021 3:49:07 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213000122		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	10/27/2021 4:22:36 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213070179	SD211103037		11/3/2021 9:24:28 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213120057	SD211108010		11/8/2021 11:43:38 AM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213160073		MISSING PERSON AT RISK RPT	11/12/2021 2:34:46 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213160079	SD211112011	FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	11/12/2021 3:31:54 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213160117		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	11/12/2021 6:43:24 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213160118		FOLLOW UP / INVESTIGATE	11/12/2021 7:04:34 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213200139	SD211116019	SUICIDE THREATS	11/16/2021 8:40:11 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213230066			11/19/2021 2:23:17 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213430122		DISTURBANCE	12/9/2021 5:40:46 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213430135			12/9/2021 7:17:58 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD213630052	SD211229007	SUICIDE THREATS	12/29/2021 10:46:30 AM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma
SD220120113		CHECK THE WELFARE	1/12/2022 4:32:43 PM	1733 SKILLMAN LN	Petaluma

February 2, 2022

Dear Board of Zoning Adjustments Commissioners, Mr. Tennis Wick, Mr. Scott Orr and Supervisor David Rabbitt,

Please consider this letter our public comment for the Feb. 10, 2022 BZA meeting since we may not be able to participate in that Zoom meeting.

We are strongly opposed to the Muir Wood residential treatment center request to expand its facility for the third time on Skillman Lane in Petaluma. The Sonoma County Permit Sonoma file number for this current project request is UPE20-0008.

We also ask that Condition #40 of UPE14-0018 be invoked to revoke the Muir Wood 2014 expansion.

Our primary reasons for opposition are related to safety and security, as well as the impact a large facility with 20 residents and approximately 20 staff on site daily has on the neighborhood aquifer.

We live around the corner from the treatment center on Fair Avenue, and twice in the last few years have had runaway boys come onto our property. In both instances, Sonoma County sheriff's deputies searched for the boys on our property and apprehended and removed them. These were frightening experiences, especially when it occurred a second time in 2020.

As Muir Wood has increased its occupancy, the number of runaways has dramatically increased. In 2020 and 2021, the Sonoma County Sheriff's Department responded to calls for 22 runaways who fled the premises, which is nearly one a month!

The Sonoma County Sheriff's Department provided us with its call-out log from 2014 through January, 2022 for 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane. Please note they are essentially operated as one treatment center, sharing a driveway, perimeter fence, and address numbers are posted side-by-side on the fence.

The log indicates there have been **50 runaways**, **14 calls for battery**, **disturbances and fights**, **plus three calls for vandalism**. In addition, there have been **51 call-outs for redacted incidents**, and we're told by Sheriff's Dept. staffers those would likely involve mental health or abuse incidents among the teenagers.

We understand the staff report recommends approval if the project is "found to be compatible with the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood."

We also understand from correspondence with your planning staff that all use permits include a standard condition that "requires the BZA consider modifying or revoking a use permit if the BZA finds there has been noncompliance with any of the use permit conditions or the BZA finds that the use for which the permit is granted constitutes a nuisance."

The Sonoma County use permits granted to Muir Wood for 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane state "the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use for which application is made will not, under the circumstances of this particular case, be **detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such**

use, not be detrimental or injurious to property and improvement in the neighborhood or the general welfare of the area.

There is no question the facility has been detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort and general welfare of those that live within the vicinity. Other neighbors will be addressing the issues of excessive noise (including screaming and profane language), excessive lights, traffic, water usage that impacts the neighborhood aquifer, and our decreased property value.

In the November 4, 2020 proposal statement from Muir Wood's land use consultant, J Kapolchok & Associates of Santa Rosa, for a conditional use permit by waiver, it says on page 9 under the Safety and Security heading: "Muir Wood residents are supervised at all times and are not permitted to leave the premises unless accompanied by staff or with their families."

From reading the Muir Wood website, we learned that in addition to substance abuse, some teenagers are being treated for dual-diagnosis, which includes mental health conditions of bipolar disorder, multiple personality disorder, and schizophrenia. The website mentions that these conditions could cause residents to display violence, anti-social behavior or commit acts of vandalism.

While we support Muir Wood's mission of recovery from substance abuse and treatment for mental health issues, it is a violation of **our health**, **safety**, **peace**, **comfort and general welfare**, **as well as an obvious nuisance**, to have a large, and expanding, group home so close to our property. We have two young granddaughters, and the prospect of encountering another runaway teenager here is truly troubling.

We are also opposed to the expansion from the original 6 residents to 20 residents (plus approximately 20 staff on site daily) because of its significant environmental impact related to water consumption and septic needs. Several neighbors of Muir Wood who share its aquifer have had their wells go dry, and our property also draws from that aquifer. It is imperative the county review the required septic monitoring records and any well consumption data and its environmental impact on those using the aquifer.

We urge the BZA to deny this expansion request, and revoke the conditional use permits for both 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane.

Respectfully,

Rick Parmer Janet Parmer 217 Fair Avenue Petaluma, CA. 94952

<u>rrparmer@comcast.net</u> jhparmer@comcast.net

707-480-2802 707-364-4666 From: <u>Brad Serwin</u>

To: Tennis Wick; Hannah Spencer; Scott Orr; Joshua Miranda; Alexandria Sullivan; PlanningAgency; David Rabbitt;

senator.mcguire@senate.ca.gov

Subject: Letter in Opposition to Muir Woods Expansion on Skillman Lane - UPE20-0008

Date: Monday, January 31, 2022 7:44:51 PM

Dear Board of Zoning Adjustments Commissioners,

We oppose the Muir Wood residential treatment center request to expand its facility for the third time on Skillman Lane in Petaluma, and ask the BZA to deny the expansion and revoke the existing use permits for Muir Wood.

The Sonoma County Permit Sonoma file number for this current project request is UPE20-0008.

We understand the staff report recommends approval if the project is "found to be compatible with the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood."

We also understand from correspondence with your planning staff that all use permits include a standard condition that "requires the BZA consider modifying or revoking a use permit if the BZA finds there has been noncompliance with any of the use permit conditions or the BZA finds that the use for which the permit is granted constitutes a nuisance."

The Sonoma County use permits granted to Muir Wood for 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane state "the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use for which application is made will not, under the circumstances of this particular case, be **detrimental to the health**, safety, peace, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such use, not be detrimental or injurious to property and improvement in the neighborhood or the general welfare of the area.

There is no question the facility has been detrimental to the health, safety, peace, comfort and general welfare of those that live within the vicinity. Other neighbors will be addressing the issues of excessive noise (including screaming and profane language), excessive lights, traffic, water usage that impacts the neighborhood aquifer, and our decreased property value.

As Muir Wood has increased its occupancy, the number of runaways has dramatically increased. In 2020 and 2021, the Sonoma County Sheriff's Department responded to calls for 22 runaways who fled the premises, which is nearly one a month!

In the November 4, 2020 proposal statement from Muir Wood's land use consultant, J Kapolchok & Associates of Santa Rosa, for a conditional use permit by waiver, it says on page 9 under the Safety and Security heading: "Muir Wood residents are supervised at all times and are not permitted to leave the premises unless accompanied by staff or with their families."

The Sonoma County Sheriff's Department has provided a call-out log from 2014 through

January, 2022 for 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane. Please note they are essentially operated as one treatment center, sharing a driveway, perimeter fence and address numbers are posted side-by-side on the fence.

The log indicates there have been **50 runaways**, **14 calls for battery**, **disturbances and fights**, **plus three calls for vandalism**. In addition, there have been **51 call-outs for redacted incidents**, and we're told by Sheriff's Dept. staffers those would likely involve mental health or abuse incidents among the teenagers.

From reading the Muir Wood website, we learned that in addition to substance abuse, some teenagers are being treated for dual-diagnosis, which includes mental health conditions of bipolar disorder, multiple personality disorder, and schizophrenia. The website mentions that these conditions could cause residents to display violence, antisocial behavior or commit acts of vandalism.

While we support Muir Wood's mission of recovery from substance abuse and treatment for mental health issues, it is a violation of **our health**, **safety**, **peace**, **comfort and general welfare**, **as well as an obvious nuisance**, to have a large, and expanding, group home so close to our property.

We are also opposed to the expansion from the original 6 residents to 20 residents (plus approximately 20 staff on site daily) because of its significant environmental impact related to water consumption and septic needs. Several neighbors of Muir Wood who share its aquifer have had their wells go dry, and our property also draws from that aquifer. It is imperative the county review the required septic monitoring records and any well consumption data and its environmental impact on those using the aquifer.

We urge the BZA to deny this permit request, and revoke the conditional use permits for both 1733 and 1743 Skillman Lane. Specifically, we also ask for Condition #40 of UPE14-0018 to be invoked to revoke the Muir Wood 2014 expansion.

Brad and Lisa Serwin 312 Fair Ave., Petaluma CA 94952

--

Brad Serwin Mobile (707) 266-4564 Bserwin3@gmail.com

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Janel Sugiyama 774 Thompson Lane Petaluma, CA 94952

January 24, 2022

Tennis Wick Permit Sonoma Director Permit Sonoma 2550 Ventura Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear Director Wick,

Thank you for participating in the Neighborhood Zoom meeting last week re: Muir Woods Teen Treatment Center's application for expansion.

As many of the neighbors expressed, I have concerns about this facility's expansion as well. Besides the safety /noise issues and the increase water usage that would occur because of the additional people on the property, I am also concerned that the expansion doesn't fit within the surrounding area. I don't know of any household in the neighborhood that has 30+ people in it.

I ask that the expansion request be denied.

Thank you again for your time on this matter.

Janel Sugiyama